## **TN Basic Education Program Review**

# **Advocacy Guide**



## **Funding for Students from Low-Income Backgrounds**

# Why Funding Matters for Students From Low-Income Backgrounds

During the 2020–21 school year, 33.5% of Tennessee's students are from low-income backgrounds. (Note: TDOE uses the term economically disadvantaged and the Tennessee Code uses at-risk.) Recent research illuminates how money matters in schools, particularly for low-income students. Increasing school funding can lead to higher achievement in low-income districts and increase low-income families' educational attainment and wages.

Funding matters for students from low-income backgrounds because they have been shortchanged by systems for far too long. As do all supplemental services, these supports require additional funding above the average per-student amount. Tennessee has an opportunity now to modernize how we educate our diversifying student population by ensuring that schools have the resources necessary for success.

# Why a Student-Weighted Formula Matters for Students From Low-Income Backgrounds

Student-weighted formulas improve equity, increase transparency, and maintain flexibility. Forty-four states, including Tennessee, provide additional funding for low-income students. During the 2020–21 school year, Tennessee districts received an additional \$940 through the BEP funding formula for each student who was directly certified to be

eligible for federal benefit programs. However, students from low-income backgrounds are not a monolith, and their needs vary. Therefore, as part of funding formula reform, the State must provide additional funding through differentiation to ensure all students' needs are met.

# Model Funding Policies for Students From Low-Income Backgrounds

Serving students from low-income backgrounds presents confounding challenges and requires more resources to meet student needs. Therefore, students from low-income backgrounds should receive an additional weight to the base funding allocation. Additionally, <a href="https://half.or.all.students.in.the">half.or.all.students.in.the</a>
<a href="https://www.nee.all.students.in.the">U.S. attend public schools where a majority of the</a>
<a href="population">population is low-income</a>. As a result, the State should account for high concentrations of low-income students in a district, recognizing these schools require more resources and staff to meet students' needs. These considerations recognize that lower-income students often benefit from wrap-around services and additional instructional interventions to close gaps and support their success.

## Model Policies For Students From Low-Income Backgrounds Should Include:

 A generous weight for students from low-income backgrounds based on direct certification in addition to the base amount (e.g., provide 100% to 200% more, or two to three times as much funding for students from low-income families than for students from higher-income families)





 An additional, sliding-scale weight based on the concentration of students from low-income backgrounds in the district

## Model Funding Practices in Other States

**Texas:** See Tex. Educ. Code Ann. § 48.104. Texas provides increased funding for students from low-

income households at five different weight levels ranging from .225 to 2.75. Texas uses multiple measures, including median household income and average educational attainment, to differentiate between levels.

**Kansas:** *Kan. Stat. Ann. § 72-5132 and 5151.* Kansas provides a .484 weight for students from low-income backgrounds and a high-density weight that varies based on concentration.

#### Here are funding policies for students from low-income backgrounds in all 50 states.

### **Key Questions To Ask Policymakers**

- Is Tennessee considering maintaining a weight for students from low-income backgrounds? Will it include additional funding for districts with high concentrations of students from low-income backgrounds?
- What measures will Tennessee use to identify students from low-income backgrounds in a new formula? How will they determine the appropriate weights for educating low-income students at the individual and district levels?
- Will Tennessee allocate multiple weights for students who qualify as low-income and are also students with a disability, English learners, and other student groups?
- How will the formula account for higher mobility rates among low-income students and their families?

#### **Additional Resources**

- 50-State Comparison K-12 and Special Education Funding: Funding for Students from Low-income Backgrounds, Education Commission of the States, 2021
- How States Allocate Funding for Students From Low-Income Backgrounds, Education Commission of the States, 2020
- How Can School Finance Systems Support Students With Additional Learning Needs?, Bellwether Education Partners, 2021
- Investing Additional Resources in Schools Serving Low-Income Students, 2020 Education Law Center
- Common Sense & Fairness Funding Tool Builder, EdBuild, 2020
- State Education Funding: The Poverty Equation, FutureEd, 2020
- School Funding: Do Poor Kids Get Their Fair Share?, The Urban Institute, 2017



